

General Assembly

Amendment

February Session, 2012

LCO No. 4447

HB0545804447HD0

Offered by:

REP. LEMAR, 96th Dist.

To: Subst. House Bill No. **5458**

File No. 225

Cal. No. 193

"AN ACT CONCERNING MUNICIPAL AUTOMATED TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT SAFETY DEVICES AT CERTAIN INTERSECTIONS."

- 1 Strike everything after the enacting clause and substitute the
- 2 following in lieu thereof:
- 3 "Section 1. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2012) As used in this section
- 4 and sections 2 to 4, inclusive, of this act:
- 5 (1) "Automated traffic enforcement safety device" means a device
- 6 that (A) is capable of producing a photographically recorded still or
- 7 video image, or combination thereof, of the rear of a motor vehicle or a
- 8 motor vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle, including an
- 9 image of the vehicle's rear license plate; and (B) indicates on one or
- 10 more of any such images produced, the date and time, and the location
- 11 of such device;
- 12 (2) "Owner" means a person or persons in whose name a motor
- 13 vehicle is registered under title 14 of the general statutes, or under the

- laws of another state or country; and
- (3) "Vendor" means a person who: (A) Provides services to a municipality under sections 2 to 4, inclusive, of this act; (B) operates, maintains, leases or licenses an automated traffic enforcement safety device; or (C) is authorized to review and assemble the recorded images captured by the automated traffic enforcement safety device, provided none of these activities shall be construed by the state or a traffic authority as providing or participating in private investigative services.
 - Sec. 2. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2012) (a) The Commissioner of Transportation may designate up to seven municipalities that may by ordinance authorize the use of automated traffic enforcement safety devices to enforce the provisions of section 14-299 of the general statutes within such municipality. Any such authorization shall expire on September 30, 2018. The commissioner shall make such designation on or before January 1, 2013, from applications submitted on a form prescribed by the commissioner as follows: (1) Not more than three municipalities with populations of more than one hundred twenty thousand, (2) not more than three municipalities with populations of more than forty-seven thousand but less than sixty thousand, and (3) not more than one municipality with a population of more than eighty thousand but less than eighty-six thousand.
 - (b) Any contract between a municipality enforcing an ordinance adopted under this section and a vendor shall not provide for payment to the vendor on a contingency basis.
 - (c) Before enforcing an ordinance adopted under this section, the municipality's police chief shall approve any proposed automated traffic enforcement safety device location, provided such device shall only be located at an intersection where the duration of the yellow signal light is no less than the duration of the yellow signal light recommended under regulations adopted by the State Traffic Commission, and the municipality shall install advance warning signs

along all approaches of the roadways preceding the intersection at which the automated traffic enforcement safety device is located. The advance warning signs shall (1) notify motorists of the existence of the automated traffic enforcement safety device, and (2) be located not less than one hundred feet from such intersection.

- (d) Any ordinance adopted under this section shall specify that: (1) The owner of a motor vehicle commits a violation of the ordinance if the automated traffic enforcement safety device produces a recorded image or images of a motor vehicle or a motor vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle proceeding through an intersection in violation of the provisions of section 14-299 of the general statutes; (2) the owner of a motor vehicle establishes a defense if the person identified as having the care, custody or control of the motor vehicle, or identified as the operator of the motor vehicle at the time of the violation, is not the owner; (3) payment of a penalty and associated costs and fees imposed for a violation of an ordinance adopted under this section may be made by electronic means; and (4) a designated employee of a vendor and a local police officer shall review and approve the recorded image or images before the notices referred to in subsection (f) of this section are mailed to the owner of the motor vehicle or the motor vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle.
- (e) An ordinance adopted under this section: (1) Shall impose a civil penalty of not more than fifty dollars; (2) may impose fees associated with the electronic processing of the payment of the civil penalty imposed for a violation of such ordinance, provided such fees do not exceed fifteen dollars; and (3) shall provide (A) that the civil penalty imposed for a violation of such ordinance may be applied to defray the costs of the installation, operation and maintenance of the automated traffic enforcement safety device and program, and (B) that any penalty not applied pursuant to subparagraph (A) of this subdivision be applied to the municipality's local transportation infrastructure improvements.
- (f) The traffic authority of the municipality or its authorized agent

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

shall mail to the owner of a motor vehicle or a motor vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle committing a violation of an ordinance adopted pursuant to this section, notice of the ordinance violation by first class mail postmarked not later than thirty days after obtaining the name and address of the owner of the motor vehicle, but not more than sixty days after the date of the alleged violation. The notice shall include: (1) The name and address of the owner of the motor vehicle or the motor vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle; (2) the license plate number of the motor vehicle or the motor vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle; (3) the violation charged; (4) the location of the intersection and the date and time of the violation; (5) a copy of or information on how to view, through electronic means, the recorded image described in this section; (6) a statement or electronically-generated affirmation by a designated employee of a vendor and local police officer, who have reviewed the recorded image described in this section and determined that the motor vehicle violated the ordinance; (7) the amount of the civil penalty imposed for the violation; (8) the date by which the civil penalty shall be paid if the owner of the vehicle does not choose to contest the violation; and (9) information on how to request a hearing to contest the violation. The date by which the civil penalty shall be paid shall be not later than thirty days after the issuance date of the violation if a defense described in this section does not apply or fortyfive days after the issuance date of the violation if a defense described in this section requires the notice to be sent to another person.

(g) It is a defense in a proceeding to enforce an ordinance adopted under this section if the owner provides to the traffic authority of the municipality, or authorized agent for the municipality, an affidavit signed under the penalties of perjury which: (1) Asserts that, at the time of the alleged violation, the owner was engaged in the business of renting or leasing motor vehicles under written agreements; (2) asserts that, at the time of the alleged violation, the motor vehicle was in the care, custody or control of a person other than the owner or an employee of the owner of the motor vehicle or the vehicle being drawn

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

by another motor vehicle, under a written agreement for the rental or lease of the motor vehicle or the vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle, for a period of not more than sixty days; and (3) provides to the traffic authority or authorized agent for the municipality the name and address of the person who was renting or leasing the motor vehicle or the vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle at the time of the alleged violation.

- (h) If the owner of a motor vehicle or a vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle meets the requirements of subsection (g) of this section, the municipality's traffic authority or authorized agent shall mail, or electronically transfer, a notice of the violation to the person identified as having the care, custody or control of the motor vehicle or the vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle at the time of the violation. The proof required under subsection (g) of this section creates a rebuttable presumption that the person having the care, custody or control of the motor vehicle or the vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle at the time of the violation was the operator of the motor vehicle at the time of the violation. The notice required under this subsection shall contain the following: (1) The information described in subsection (f) of this section; (2) a statement that the person receiving the notice was identified by the owner of the motor vehicle or the vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle as the person having the care, custody or control of the motor vehicle at the time of the violation; and (3) a statement that a person may offer a defense as described in this subsection, or in subsection (g) or (i) of this section.
- (i) It is a defense to a proceeding to enforce an ordinance adopted under this section if the owner provides to the traffic authority an affidavit signed under penalty of perjury stating either of the following: (1) That the owner was not operating the motor vehicle or the motor vehicle drawing another vehicle at the time of the alleged violation and provides the name and address of the person operating the motor vehicle or the motor vehicle drawing a vehicle at the time of the alleged violation; or (2) that either: (A) The motor vehicle, or (B) the

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

license plate of the motor vehicle or the vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle, was stolen before the alleged violation occurred and was not under the control or possession of the owner at the time of the alleged violation. In addition to such affidavit, the owner shall submit proof that a police report was filed concerning the stolen motor vehicle or stolen license plate.

(j) If the owner of a motor vehicle or a vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle submits the evidence required under subsection (i) of this section, the municipality's traffic authority or authorized agent shall mail a notice of the violation to the person identified as the person operating the motor vehicle at the time of the violation. The proof required under subsection (i) of this section creates a rebuttable presumption that the person identified in the affidavit required under subsection (i) of this section was the operator of the motor vehicle at the time of the violation. The notice required under this subsection shall contain the following: (1) The information described in subsection (f) of this section; and (2) a statement that the person receiving the notice was identified by the owner of the motor vehicle as the person operating the motor vehicle at the time of the violation.

(k) It is a defense to a proceeding to enforce an ordinance adopted under this section if any of the following apply: (1) A person operating an authorized emergency vehicle may proceed past a red traffic control signal or traffic control device after slowing down as necessary for safe operation; (2) the traffic signal lights are not operating, and such is able to be observed on the recorded image; (3) the operator was complying with a lawful order or direction of a law enforcement officer, and such is able to be observed on the recorded image; (4) the operator was yielding right-of-way to an authorized emergency vehicle, and such is able to be observed on the recorded image; (5) the vehicle was participating in a funeral procession, and such is able to be observed on the recorded image; or (6) a traffic citation was issued to the operator of the motor vehicle for the violation by a state or local police officer.

(l) If it appears from the records of the local authority that has jurisdiction to enforce an ordinance adopted under this section that a person has failed to pay a violation by the applicable deadline established by this section without notification of an intent to contest the violation, the local authority shall send a notice to the person who is the registered owner of the motor vehicle or the vehicle being drawn by another motor vehicle that such person has an outstanding unpaid assessment.

- (m) The chief executive officer of a municipality shall appoint one or more traffic control signal violation hearing officers, other than police officers or persons who work in the police department, to conduct the hearings authorized by this section.
- (n) Any person who asserts a defense authorized by this section and who requests a hearing shall be given written notice of the date, time and place for the hearing. Such hearing shall be held not less than fifteen days or more than thirty days after the date of the mailing of notice, provided the hearing officer shall grant, upon good cause shown, any reasonable request by any interested party for postponement or continuance. An original or certified copy of the initial notice of violation shall be filed and retained by the municipality, be deemed to be a business record within the scope of section 52-180 of the general statutes and be evidence of the facts contained therein. A person wishing to contest such person's liability shall appear at the hearing and may present evidence on such person's behalf. The presence of the police officer who approved the issuance of the violation shall be required at the hearing if such person so requests. A designated municipal official, other than the hearing officer, may present evidence on behalf of the municipality. If the person who requested the hearing fails to appear, the hearing officer may enter an assessment by default against such person upon a finding of proper notice and liability under the applicable ordinance or statute. The hearing officer may accept from such person copies of police reports, documents of the Department of Motor Vehicles and other official documents by mail prior to the hearing and may determine thereby

180

181

182183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

that the appearance of such person is unnecessary. The hearing officer shall conduct the hearing in the order and form and with such methods of proof as the hearing officer deems fair and appropriate. The rules regarding the admissibility of evidence shall not be strictly applied, but all testimony shall be given under oath or affirmation. The hearing officer shall announce the hearing officer's decision at the end of the hearing. If the hearing officer determines that the person is not liable, the hearing officer shall dismiss the matter and enter the hearing officer's determination in writing accordingly. If the hearing officer determines that the person is liable for the violation, the hearing officer shall forthwith enter and assess the penalties, costs or fees against such person as provided by the applicable ordinances of the municipality.

Sec. 3. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2012) Notwithstanding any provision of the general statutes, a violation of section 14-299 of the general statutes detected and recorded by an automated traffic control signal enforcement device pursuant to section 2 of this act shall not: (1) Constitute an infraction or violation; (2) be processed by the Centralized Infractions Bureau; (3) be considered a moving traffic violation; (4) be reported to the Department of Motor Vehicles for inclusion on a person's driving record; or (5) cause the assessment of points against the operator's license of the person found to have violated section 14-299 of the general statutes.

Sec. 4. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2012) Not later than the latter of October 1, 2017, or twelve months following the date of implementation of an automated traffic enforcement safety device program by a municipality, each municipality that has installed such a device and has been operating such a program shall submit a report to the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to transportation. Such report shall include a comparison and analysis of: (1) The number of violations of section 14-299 of the general statutes that occurred at the intersections where such automated traffic control signal enforcement devices were used, prior to and during the use of such enforcement devices; (2) the number and type of related traffic violations and accidents that

occurred at such intersections prior to and during the use of such devices; and (3) the number of violations of section 14-299 of the general statutes and related violations and accidents that occurred at intersections where such control signal enforcement devices were used and at similar intersections where such automated traffic control signal enforcement devices were not used. The report shall also describe situations in which (A) camera results could not be used or were not used; (B) the number of leased, out-of-state or other vehicles, including trucks, where enforcement efforts were unsuccessful; (C) the amount of revenue from fines retained by the municipality; (D) the cost of such program to the municipality; and (E) such other data or comparisons deemed of interest or importance by the municipality."

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:		
Section 1	October 1, 2012	New section
Sec. 2	October 1, 2012	New section
Sec. 3	October 1, 2012	New section
Sec. 4	October 1, 2012	New section